

# Kinetic analysis of the complexation of aqueous lanthanide(III) ions by arsenazo III †

Yanlong Shi,<sup>a</sup> Edward M. Eyring<sup>\*a</sup> and Rudi van Eldik<sup>\*b</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

The complexation behavior of Ln<sup>3+</sup> (aq) (Ln = Eu, Gd or Tb) ions by arsenazo III [3,6-bis(*o*-arsonophenylazo)-4,5-dihydroxynaphthalene-2,7-disulfonic acid] has been investigated by stopped-flow kinetic techniques (ambient and high-pressure). The reaction takes place in three stages. The first kinetic stage differs in pressure dependence for the different metal ions, probably related to a co-ordination number changeover. The second and third kinetic stages were also studied under a variety of conditions. A step by step ring-closure mechanism is suggested to account for the three kinetic stages. The results are discussed with reference to related literature data.

Interest in the co-ordination chemistry, thermodynamics, and kinetics of lanthanide(III) complexes in part arises because of their use as contrast-enhancement agents in magnetic resonance imaging.<sup>1–17</sup> Lanthanide complexes can also simulate some aspects of the clean-up of radioactive actinide wastes such as plutonium because of their chemical similarity; for example, Eu<sup>3+</sup> is chemically very similar to Pu<sup>3+</sup>. Complex formation between aqueous lanthanide(III) ions and monodentate or non-cyclic multidentate ligands is usually very fast, so that the kinetics of their complexation can be studied only by relaxation methods.<sup>1–7</sup> The high rate of complex formation is a consequence of the fast water-exchange reactions taking place between the solvation sphere of lanthanide ions and bulk water.<sup>18</sup> Complexation reactions can be significantly slower for protonated, multidentate ligands for which ring closure or proton transfer can be the rate-controlling step.

Arsenazo III [3,6-bis(*o*-arsonophenylazo)-4,5-dihydroxy-



Arsenazo III

naphthalene-2,7-disulfonic acid, H<sub>8</sub>L] is an often used azo-dye in spectrophotometry, especially for the determination of lanthanide and actinide ions.<sup>19–22</sup> The crystal structure (grown in highly acidic media) of arsenazo III indicates that four intramolecular hydrogen bonds are present within the molecule, including the intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl and arsono group, in addition to the intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl and azo group.<sup>23</sup>

Many studies of the stereochemistry, the complex ion stability and the complexation kinetics of arsenazo III have been reported.<sup>24–38</sup> In particular, several kinetic studies of the reaction between actinide ions and arsenazo III have been published.<sup>39–44</sup> All of the kinetic investigations were carried out

under very high acidity conditions and at low concentrations of reactants, which means that the kinetics only covered the first step of the complexation. No subsequent ring-closure steps were observed because arsenazo III existed in the protonated form under such acidic conditions. To our knowledge, no kinetic studies of the complex-formation reactions between aqueous lanthanide ions and arsenazo III have been reported.

In the present paper we describe the results of a detailed study of the formation kinetics of aqueous 1:1 M<sup>3+</sup>-arsenazo III (M = Eu, Gd or Tb) complexes in the range pH 1.5 to 6.6. The kinetic studies were performed using conventional and high-pressure stopped-flow techniques. The latter is a helpful tool for elucidation of the detailed mechanisms of complex-formation, ligand-exchange and ligand-substitution reactions from measured volumes of activation.<sup>45</sup>

## Experimental

### Chemicals

Arsenazo III (Aldrich), europium chloride hexahydrate (Strem), gadolinium chloride hexahydrate (Strem), terbium chloride (Alfa), and sodium perchlorate (Aldrich) were used as received.

Acetate buffer solution was prepared by treating acetic acid (J. T. Baker) with sodium hydroxide. Succinate buffer solution was made by mixing succinic acid dipotassium salt (Eastman) with perchloric acid (Fisher Scientific). (2-Morpholinoethanesulfonic acid) (mes) buffer solution was prepared by adjusting the pH of mes (ICN Biomedicals) with NaOH.

Stock solutions of the ligand were prepared by weight. Sodium perchlorate was added to most solutions in order to maintain a constant ionic strength (in most cases [NaClO<sub>4</sub>] = 0.5 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>). Bidistilled water was used throughout this study.

All glassware was first treated with an edta solution and then cleaned with successive detergent, ammonia, and distilled water rinses.

### Instrumentation

The UV/VIS spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8452A diode-array spectrophotometer. pH Measurements were made with an Orion Research 701A Digital Ionanalyzer equipped with glass and calomel electrodes. The desired acidities for the equilibrium measurements and the kinetic runs were obtained by adding to the solutions small amounts of HClO<sub>4</sub> or NaOH. Raman spectra were recorded on a Spex-1877 laser Raman spectrometer.

† Supplementary data available: rate constants as a function of pressure, pH and concentration and associated plots, activation volumes. For direct access see <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1998/967/>, otherwise available from BLDSC (No. SUP 57347, 15 pp.) or the RSC Library. See Instructions for Authors, 1998, Issue 1 (<http://www.rsc.org/dalton>). Non-SI unit employed: bar = 10<sup>5</sup> Pa.

**Table 1** Dissociation constants of arsenazo III\*

Constant	Value	Dissociation
$pK_1$	<1.30	} $\text{SO}_3\text{H} \longrightarrow \text{SO}_3^-$
$pK_2$	<1.30	
$pK_3$	2.60	} $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}_2 \longrightarrow \text{AsO}_3\text{H}^-$
$pK_4$	4.29	
$pK_5$	6.70	} $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}^- \longrightarrow \text{AsO}_3^{2-}$
$pK_6$	8.79	
$pK_7$	10.6	} $\text{OH} \longrightarrow \text{O}^-$
$pK_8$	11.0	

\* From ref. 47.

### Kinetic measurements

Kinetic measurements were made either at atmospheric pressure on a Durrum stopped-flow spectrophotometer or on a laboratory-made, high-pressure stopped-flow system<sup>46</sup> for pressures up to 1000 bar. *n*-Heptane was used as the pressurizing medium. Transmitted light intensity *versus* time was recorded on a Tektronix (model 7D20) storage oscilloscope and transferred to a personal computer, on which the data were fitted with the On Line Instrument System (OLIS) KINFIT programs (Bogart, GA, 1989). Several experimental records were averaged in the determination of each rate constant. The complexation of  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) by arsenazo III was studied at temperatures between 13.5 and 40.1 °C. Constant temperature was maintained by an external circulating bath ( $\pm 0.1$  °C). All kinetic data were measured after not less than 1 h of temperature equilibration.

### Calculations

All experimental runs for the first kinetic stage were best described by a single exponential, whereas all traces for the second and the third stages were fitted best by a sum of two exponentials. Observed pseudo-first-order rate constants were obtained from a least-squares fit of at least 3 half-lives of the reactions. Enthalpies and entropies of activation were determined by a fit of the natural logarithm of the rate constants using the Eyring equation. Volumes of activation were obtained by a fit of the natural logarithm of the observed pseudo-first-order-rate constants using equation (1) where  $k_0$  denotes the

$$\ln k = \ln k_0 - [(\Delta V^\ddagger)P/RT] \quad (1)$$

rate constant at zero pressure (usually taken as ambient pressure). Errors reported correspond to one standard deviation.

## Results and Discussion

### Equilibria, spectra and structure

Arsenazo III,  $\text{H}_8\text{L}$  (see the structure above), is a bis(azo) octaprotic weak acid. Its electronic spectrum is very pH dependent. Deprotonation is accompanied by changes from red to reddish purple and finally to deep blue at high pH. Various attempts have been made to describe the behavior of free arsenazo III as a function of pH in terms of protonation equilibria.<sup>19,26,32,47</sup> Table 1 shows the dissociation constants of the several acidic species taken from ref. 47. The most recent report<sup>32</sup> gives the overall  $pK_a$  values for  $\text{H}_7\text{L}^-$ ,  $\text{H}_6\text{L}^{2-}$ ,  $\text{H}_5\text{L}^{3-}$  and  $\text{H}_4\text{L}^{4-}$  as 3.36, 4.32, 7.77 and 10.83, respectively. A typical  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  peak for free arsenazo III is 536 nm.


Complexation of lanthanides by arsenazo III and detection of lanthanides have been extensively investigated spectrophotometrically and thermodynamically because of arsenazo III's high sensitivity and low selectivity and ability to form stable complexes over a wide pH range and in concentrated acid.<sup>19</sup> The studies by Rohwer *et al.*<sup>19</sup> indicated that only the 1:1

lanthanide–arsenazo III complex is formed at low arsenazo III concentration and in a dilute acidic medium (pH 3.5) and the conditional formation constants ( $\log \beta_{1,1}$ ) and molar absorption coefficients ( $\epsilon$ ) at 650 nm (pH 3.5 and  $[\text{NaNO}_3] = 4.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) are  $\approx 7.5$  and  $8.0 \times 10^4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. Rohwer *et al.* resolved the stoichiometry discrepancies of previous workers.<sup>26,48–52</sup> Complete chelation to form the 1:1 complex makes the structure much more stable than the 1:2 complex. The stability is probably attributable to the metal center being fully chelated by arsenazo III in the 1:1 complex and only partially chelated by the ligand in the 1:2 complex. Studies on complex formation between the copper(II) ion and ephedrine or norephedrine show the same phenomenon.<sup>53</sup> Recent investigations<sup>32</sup> on complexation of lanthanides by arsenazo III show that the 1:1 complex has four protonation states which dominate at pH 3.3, 6.0, 8.3 and  $>10$ , respectively, and the maximum wavelength depends to some extent on the ionic strength of the solution.

In order to confirm the structure of the 1:1 Eu–arsenazo III complex for the subsequent kinetic studies, Raman spectra of arsenazo III at pH 4.00 and 12.5, and of the 1:1 complex at pH 4.00 were obtained. At pH 12.5, arsenazo III showed an aromatic  $\text{O}^-$  vibration at  $1217 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and the  $\text{N}=\text{N}$  stretching vibration at  $1400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . At pH 4.00, no  $\text{O}^-$  vibration was observed. The complex was formed *in situ* by addition of a 20-fold excess of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  to the arsenazo III solution at pH 4.00. Intense bands at 1221, 1437 and  $1480 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  were observed. The last two bands have higher frequencies than those of the uncomplexed azo bands,<sup>54</sup> which indicates a higher  $\text{N}=\text{N}$  bond order in the complex.


Meyers *et al.*<sup>54</sup> observed a very similar Raman result when they studied the chelation of nickel(II) by tridentate  $\alpha$ -(2-hydroxyphenylazo)acetoacetonitrile and an  $\alpha$ -(8-quinolyloxy)acetonitrile dye. They noted that the phenolic OH was ionized at pH 13, the aryl-O stretching vibration appeared at  $1246 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and this vibration was shifted to  $1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  upon complexing at all pH values. The band for  $\text{N}=\text{N}$  stretching at  $1411 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  has a higher frequency than those of the uncomplexed azo bands,  $1370 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at pH 8.3 and  $1378 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at pH 11.3.

Based on these pieces of information, we propose the 1:1 complex structure shown below. It has been shown that the phenolic OH is deprotonated at a high pH in the absence of metal ion.<sup>47</sup>




### General kinetic observations


The reaction of aqueous  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) with arsenazo III occurs in two stages in aqueous buffered or unbuffered acidic solutions at  $\text{pH} < 3.60$  when observed by stopped-flow at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 534, 575$  (intermediate) or  $670 \text{ nm}$ . The first more rapid stage corresponds to a decrease in absorbance at  $534 \text{ nm}$  due to the decrease in dye concentration. The second stage can be followed by either an absorbance increase at  $575 \text{ nm}$  or an absorbance decrease at  $670 \text{ nm}$  with a half-life between 500 ms and 10 s depending on pH. The reaction takes place in three stages in aqueous buffered or unbuffered solutions in the range  $3.60 < \text{pH} < 6.60$ . The first stage is too fast to be followed by stopped-flow spectrophotometry when pH is larger than 3.60. The two steps of the second stage can be studied by either an absorbance increase at  $575 \text{ nm}$  or an absorbance decrease at  $670 \text{ nm}$  with a half-life in the 500–1000 ms range which is independent of pH in this pH range. An oscilloscope trace for these two



**Fig. 1** Typical kinetic trace recorded for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III at pressure of 1 bar and  $25.0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  in  $0.1\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  acetate buffer solution (pH 5.00 and  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ).  $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , wavelength =  $670\text{ nm}$



**Fig. 2** Typical kinetic trace recorded for the third stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III at pressure of 250 bar and  $25.0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  in  $0.1\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  acetate buffer solution (pH 4.00 and  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ). Conditions as in Fig. 1



**Fig. 3** Repetitive spectral scans for the third stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III with a time interval of 10 s. The reaction conditions are the same as in Fig. 2

steps is shown in Fig. 1. For the slowest two steps of the third stage the absorbance at  $670\text{ nm}$  increases with time. These steps are slow enough for monitoring by regular repetitive spectral scans on the HP 8452A diode-array spectrophotometer. Fig. 2 shows a kinetic trace for the slowest step, and a repetitive spectral scan is shown in Fig. 3.

#### Kinetics of the first stage

The observed pseudo-first-order rate constants at different

**Table 2** Observed rate constants as a function of pressure and activation volumes for the first stage of the reaction of  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$ ,  $\text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) and arsenazo III at  $13.5\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , pH 1.50,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{M}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5}\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  in  $0.1\text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  succinate buffer solution


Pressure/bar	$k_1/\text{s}^{-1}$		
	$\text{M} = \text{Eu}^a$	$\text{M} = \text{Gd}^b$	$\text{M} = \text{Tb}^c$
1	$79.6 \pm 9.0$	$155 \pm 7$	$81.0 \pm 7$
250	$73.6 \pm 6.0$	$142 \pm 4$	$93.5 \pm 8$
500	$69.7 \pm 1.2$	$133 \pm 5$	$114 \pm 6$
750	$63.0 \pm 5.0$	$120 \pm 4$	$142 \pm 8$
1000	$58.4 \pm 6.0$	$111 \pm 4$	$160 \pm 9$

<sup>a</sup>  $\Delta V_1^\ddagger = +7.3 \pm 0.4\text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup>  $\Delta V_1^\ddagger = +8.5 \pm 0.4\text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>c</sup>  $\Delta V_1^\ddagger = -17.5 \pm 0.9\text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1}$ .


pressures for the reaction between  $\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$  or  $\text{Gd}$ ) or  $\text{Tb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8^{3+}$  and arsenazo III with  $\text{M}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  in large excess are given in Table 2. Excellent fits to individual kinetic runs were given by a single exponential.

First of all it should be noted that these reactions are generally much faster than observed for substitution reactions of lanthanide(III) complexes since we are dealing with aquated metal ions in the present study.<sup>55</sup> This is why the first stage of our reaction was studied at  $13.5\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  rather than at the standard  $25.0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and under highly acidic conditions. Difficulties were experienced in studying the concentration dependence since the reactions are too fast for the stopped-flow spectrophotometer if the concentration of  $\text{M}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  is increased. We also could not investigate the concentration dependence when the concentrations were decreased because the low-amplitude traces were too noisy. Similar kinetic studies of the reactions of actinides with arsenazo III were carried out by others<sup>39-44</sup> at even higher acidities and lower concentrations of reactants.

Knowledge of the co-ordination number of the lanthanide(III) ions in aqueous solution is of primary importance for the interpretation of the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of complexes of these elements. Water-exchange kinetics of lanthanide(III)(aq) determined by a variable-pressure  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR technique indicate that there is a changeover in co-ordination number from nine for the earlier, lighter members of the lanthanide series to eight for the later, heavier members of the series when water is the solvent; Eu and Gd are the border elements for the changeover.<sup>18,56-59</sup> In the present work we selected aqueous  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  for kinetic study. From Table 2, we can see that the reaction rate decreases with increasing pressure for the reaction of  $\text{M}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$  or  $\text{Gd}$ ) with arsenazo III, but the rate increases with increasing pressure for the  $\text{Tb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8^{3+}$  system. The volumes of activation are  $+7.43 \pm 0.4$  and  $+8.5 \pm 0.4\text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1}$  for  $\text{Eu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9^{3+}$  and  $\text{Gd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_9^{3+}$ , respectively, whereas  $\Delta V_1^\ddagger$  for the reaction of  $\text{Tb}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8^{3+}$  is  $-17.5 \pm 0.9\text{ cm}^3\text{ mol}^{-1}$ . The significantly different pressure dependences observed for complex formation with  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  as compared to  $\text{Tb}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  clearly indicate a changeover in mechanism.<sup>57</sup> This correlates with the co-ordination number of these complexes, which is nine for the former and eight for the latter.<sup>57</sup> Schemes 1 and 2 are consistent with these data for  $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$ ,  $\text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ , respectively. The final product species in Schemes 1 and 2 is referred to hereafter as




**Scheme 1**



Scheme 2

intermediate I. The positive activation volumes obtained for Eu and Gd indicate a dissociative interchange ( $I_d$ ) mechanism. Similar results have been reported for water exchange on  $\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}_6^{2+}$  ( $\Delta V^\ddagger = +7.2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>60</sup> The activation volumes for water exchange on  $[\text{Gd(dtpa)(H}_2\text{O)}]^{2-}$  ( $\Delta V^\ddagger = +12.5 \text{ cm}^3$



$\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and  $[\text{Gd(dota)(H}_2\text{O)}]^-$  ( $\Delta V^\ddagger = +10.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) are large and positive, indicating a mechanism close to limiting dissociative (D).<sup>12c</sup> The larger volumes of activation are probably the result of steric hindrance caused by the multidentate chelates. In the complex  $[\text{Gd(dtpa)(H}_2\text{O)}]^{2-}$  or  $[\text{Gd(dota)(H}_2\text{O)}]^-$  the  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  ion is surrounded by the large functional group dtpa<sup>5-</sup> or dota<sup>4-</sup> (see the structures below), and there is only enough space for co-ordination of one water molecule. As a consequence, this would limit the operation of an interchange mechanism such that the dissociation of water results in a larger positive activation volume.


The activation volume ( $-17.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) for the reaction of  $[\text{Tb(H}_2\text{O)}_8]^{3+}$  with arsenazo III clearly indicates an associative mechanism. The significant mechanistic difference from the dissociative interchange found for the complexation of  $\text{M(H}_2\text{O)}_9^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu or Gd}$ ) by arsenazo III is probably due to the change-over in co-ordination number as discussed above. It is also interesting to compare the complexation of  $[\text{Tb(H}_2\text{O)}_8]^{3+}$  by arsenazo III with the water exchange for the  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  series studied by Merbach and co-workers.<sup>56-58</sup> The activation volumes for the water exchange on the heavy lanthanide ions are all negative and close to  $-6 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  indicating a concerted associative interchange ( $I_a$ ) mechanism. The large and negative activation volume for the complexation of  $[\text{Tb(H}_2\text{O)}_8]^{3+}$  by arsenazo III is attributed to the size of the entering chelate that will cause a more effective overlap of van der Waals radii.

The complexations of aqueous lanthanide(III) ions by other multidentate, weakly basic ligands (such as murexide, xylenol orange, oxalate, anthranilate, methyl red and pyridine-2-carboxylate) have been studied,<sup>1,61-65</sup> and the rates vary by several orders of magnitude from  $3 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^9 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the same metal with different entering ligands. The ligands do not all have the same charge and size and were not studied at the same pH. Furthermore, the data were not all collected at the same temperature. Our rate constants for the complexation of arsenazo III vary from  $0.6 \times 10^5$  to  $1.60 \times 10^5 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and are lower than those of the systems mentioned above. It is obvious that arsenazo III is larger and a weaker donor ligand than any of the others, which will especially affect the associative reaction mode. We suggest that the first reaction step observed at low pH (where  $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}_2$  and OH groups are protonated and cannot co-ordinate) involves co-ordination to the deprotonated sulfonate groups.

### Subsequent reaction steps

The second and third kinetic stages were either studied at 670 or 575 nm as a function of the concentration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (aq) and arsenazo III, pH, temperature and pressure in buffered (succinate, acetate, mes) and unbuffered aqueous solutions;  $\text{NaClO}_4$  was used to adjust the ionic strength. Under all conditions each reaction stage could be fitted well by two exponentials, these resulting in a total of four subsequent reaction steps, *viz.*  $k_2, k_2', k_3$  and  $k_3'$ ;  $k_2$  can be determined more accurately than  $k_2'$  and  $k_3$  more accurately than  $k_3'$ . The two steps ( $k_3$  and  $k_3'$ ) of the third stage are much slower than those of the second stage and the kinetics is slow enough to be followed by a regular repetitive spectral scan technique. Fig. 2 shows a typical kinetic trace for these two steps ( $k_3$  and  $k_3'$ ), and a repetitive scan is shown in Fig. 3.

Under all studied conditions the concentration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ (aq) had no effect on the observed rate constants of these steps (see SUP 57347). Since  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ (aq) was always used in excess over arsenazo III, these results indicate that the observed reactions must involve intramolecular chelate ring closure as shown in the overall mechanism outlined in Scheme 3. Furthermore, these




Scheme 3


results argue against the possible formation of higher-order metal complexes or bridged species.

The kinetic measurements were carried out as a function of pH in unbuffered and buffered (succinate, acetate and mes) solutions over the range 2.0 to 6.6. Kinetic studies at higher pH, such as in Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane] ( $\text{p}K_a = 8.1$ ) and ches (2-cyclohexylaminoethanesulfonic acid) ( $\text{p}K_a = 9.3$ ) failed because of the precipitation of  $\text{Eu(OH)}_3$ . The results are summarized in Figs. 4 and 5, from which it follows that both  $k_2(\text{obs.})$  and  $k_2'(\text{obs.})$  exhibit characteristic pH dependences, whereas  $k_3(\text{obs.})$  and  $k_3'(\text{obs.})$  are independent of pH in the selected range (Fig. 6). The plots of  $k_2(\text{obs.})$  and  $k_2'(\text{obs.})$  versus pH clearly indicate that the second (two) steps may involve pH-dependent intramolecular isomerization of the chelate to bind to  $\text{AsO}_3^{2-}$ , *i.e.* the ligand 'swings' around and sulfonate co-ordination is replaced by arsenate co-ordination. The first deprotonation step of both  $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}_2$  groups can account for the observed pH dependence of both  $k_2$  and  $k_2'$ . Once  $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}^-$  is co-ordinated the  $\text{p}K_a$  values will be much lower and spontaneous deprotonation to  $\text{AsO}_3^{2-}$  will occur.

As discussed above, the deprotonation of the OH groups on arsenazo III is difficult and the pH value must be adjusted to above 11 in the absence of any aquated metal ions.<sup>47</sup> With metal ion, such as lanthanide(III) ion present, its co-ordination by arsenazo III can facilitate deprotonation. Thus the co-ordination of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ (aq) by arsenazo III takes place at pH 4.00.




**Fig. 4** pH Dependence of  $k_2(\text{obs.})$  for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III in buffered (succinate, acetate and mes) or unbuffered aqueous solution ( $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; [arsenazo III] =  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) at 1 bar and 25.0 °C:  $\nabla$ , no buffer,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\blacklozenge$ , [acetate] =  $0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\triangle$ , [succinate] =  $0.01 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\square$ , [mes] =  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$




**Fig. 5** pH Dependence of  $k_2'(\text{obs.})$  for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III in buffered (succinate, acetate and mes) or unbuffered aqueous solution ( $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; [arsenazo III] =  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) at 1 bar and 25.0 °C:  $\square$ , no buffer,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\diamond$ , [acetate] =  $0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\triangle$ , [succinate] =  $0.01 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\nabla$ , [acetate] =  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , no  $\text{NaClO}_4$ ;  $\circ$ , [mes] =  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

If the pH of the reaction is below 3.60 we cannot observe the two steps of the third stage. This conclusion is supported by the Raman and UV/VIS spectroscopic studies and thermodynamics as discussed above. The two steps of the third stage may involve pH-independent chelation to involve the OH groups. The final  $k_3$  stage is suggested to involve co-ordination (chelation) of the OH groups. These have available donor electrons, such that deprotonation will presumably follow chelation, since these  $\text{p}K_a$  values will then be significantly lower. No pH dependence was observed for this step, thus deprotonation is not involved in the rate-determining step. This means that the deprotonation of the OH groups will either occur before or after chelation. The pH independence of  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$  is quite realistic since two binding sites on arsenazo III are already coordinated to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$ , which will significantly lower the  $\text{p}K_a$  values of the remaining sites.


The ligand is finally tetradentately co-ordinated. Therefore, we can summarize the relationship between the reaction step and nature of the coordinated ligand as in Scheme 4 (see Scheme 3).



**Fig. 6** Plots of  $k_3(\text{obs.})$  or  $k_3'(\text{obs.})$  versus pH for the third stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III in acetate buffer or unbuffered solution ( $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; [arsenazo III] =  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) at 1 bar, pH 4.00 and 25.0 °C:  $\nabla$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\square$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , [acetate] =  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $k_3'$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\circ$ ,  $k_3'$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , [acetate] =  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$



**Fig. 7** Plots of  $\ln k_2$  versus pressure for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III in unbuffered aqueous solution ( $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; [arsenazo III] =  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) at different pH values and 25.0 °C: pH 2.00 ( $\circ$ ); 3.00; ( $\square$ ), 4.00; ( $\triangle$ ), 5.00; ( $\nabla$ ) or 6.00 ( $\diamond$ )




**Scheme 4** \*  $\text{AsO}_3\text{H}$  deprotonates further following binding to the metal center

In order to obtain further insight into the nature of the ligand substitution/chelation mechanism outlined in Scheme 3, the temperature and pressure dependences of the subsequent reaction steps, characterized by  $k_2$ ,  $k_2'$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$ , were investigated. The results along with the calculated activation parameters ( $\Delta H^\ddagger$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta V^\ddagger$ ) are summarized in Tables 3 to 7 and Figs. 7 and 8 (see also SUP 57347). The experimental conditions for  $k_2$  and  $k_2'$  were selected in the pH-independent region (pH 4.0).

**Table 3** Reaction rate as a function of temperature and the activation parameters for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and arsenazo III at pH 4.00,  $p = 1$  bar,  $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

$T/\text{K}$	$k_2/\text{s}^{-1}$	$k_2'/\text{s}^{-1}$
(a) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3a}$		
286.1	$11.4 \pm 0.2$	$1.17 \pm 0.01$
291.1	$22.1 \pm 0.8$	$2.23 \pm 0.05$
298.1	$30.8 \pm 1.1$	$3.42 \pm 0.04$
307.0	$50.2 \pm 4.0$	$7.31 \pm 0.80$
313.0	$67.0 \pm 1.9$	$9.40 \pm 0.01$
(b) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3b}$		
286.3	$17.3 \pm 1.5$	$0.85 \pm 0.04$
291.1	$24.7 \pm 0.7$	$1.29 \pm 0.12$
298.1	$30.1 \pm 1.2$	$2.00 \pm 0.08$
307.3	$40.6 \pm 2.1$	$4.70 \pm 0.25$
313.2	$55.2 \pm 1.8$	$6.90 \pm 0.11$

<sup>a</sup> For  $k_2$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 44 \pm 5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -70 \pm 16 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; for  $k_2'$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 55 \pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -52 \pm 14 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup> For  $k_2$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 27 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -125 \pm 8 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; for  $k_2'$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 56 \pm 2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -50 \pm 8 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 8** Plots of  $\ln k_2$  versus pressure for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}(\text{aq})$  with arsenazo III in acetate-buffered aqueous solution ( $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  or no  $\text{NaClO}_4$ ) at different pH values and  $25.0^\circ\text{C}$ :  $\circ$ , pH 4.60,  $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\square$ , pH 5.52,  $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\triangle$ , pH 3.61,  $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\nabla$ , pH 4.15,  $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $\diamond$ , pH 5.16,  $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

The results in Table 3 show that  $k_2$  exhibits a significant dependence on the presence of the acetate buffer. This suggests that acetate can induce or assist the intramolecular isomerization from sulfonate to arsenate co-ordination, presumably *via* general acid catalysis. The values of  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  and  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  for  $k_2$  are significantly more negative in the presence of acetate, which suggests that the induced intramolecular isomerization follows an associative process. In all other cases a positive volume of activation was observed. This result can be attributed to the dissociation of a co-ordinated solvent molecule on the aquated metal center, and/or to charge neutralization that occurs when an anionic donor site chelates the metal center. The latter process will result in decreased electrostriction and an increase in partial molar volume. Activation volumes of *ca.*  $15$  to  $18 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  are typical for a dissociative mechanism that involves the release of a solvent (water) molecule, whereas values that are significantly more positive must in addition involve a contribution from a decrease in solvent electrostriction. All subsequent chelation reactions ( $k_2'$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$ ) exhibit significantly positive  $\Delta V^\ddagger$  values, which support a dissociative mechanism. The  $\Delta S^\ddagger$

**Table 4** Observed rate constants as a function of concentration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and pressure and activation volumes for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and arsenazo III at  $25.0^\circ\text{C}$ , pH 4.00 and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

$10^3[\text{Eu}^{3+}]/\text{mol dm}^{-3}$	Pressure/bar	$k_2/\text{s}^{-1}$	$k_2'/\text{s}^{-1}$
(a) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$			
0.50 <sup>a</sup>	1	$30.3 \pm 0.8$	$3.21 \pm 0.04$
	250	$27.1 \pm 0.5$	$2.96 \pm 0.03$
	500	$23.3 \pm 0.7$	$2.45 \pm 0.03$
	750	$21.1 \pm 0.7$	$2.15 \pm 0.03$
1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1	$30.8 \pm 1.5$	$3.40 \pm 0.13$
	250	$27.9 \pm 2.5$	$2.95 \pm 0.25$
	500	$26.0 \pm 1.4$	$2.49 \pm 0.11$
	750	$23.5 \pm 1.8$	$2.14 \pm 0.06$
	1000	$20.3 \pm 0.4$	$1.82 \pm 0.04$
2.00	1	$33.4 \pm 0.3$	$3.48 \pm 0.19$
5.00	1	$32.7 \pm 1.4$	$3.39 \pm 0.30$
10.0 <sup>c</sup>	1	$35.7 \pm 1.4$	$3.40 \pm 0.12$
	250	$32.4 \pm 1.9$	$2.95 \pm 0.09$
	500	$30.1 \pm 1.3$	$2.33 \pm 0.07$
	750	$27.2 \pm 1.4$	$1.92 \pm 0.07$
	1000	$23.5 \pm 1.0$	$1.52 \pm 0.06$
(b) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$			
0.50	1	$35.4 \pm 1.3$	$3.05 \pm 0.06$
1.00	1	$35.3 \pm 1.7$	$3.34 \pm 0.07$
2.00	1	$34.2 \pm 0.7$	$3.24 \pm 0.07$
5.00	1	$34.8 \pm 1.1$	$3.36 \pm 0.15$
10.0	1	$35.7 \pm 1.5$	$3.21 \pm 0.15$

<sup>a</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = +12.1 \pm 0.7$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +13.6 \pm 1.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = +9.9 \pm 0.7$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +15.4 \pm 2.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>c</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = +9.9 \pm 0.7$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +19.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

**Table 5** Observed rate constants as a function of pressure and activation volumes for the second stage of the reaction of  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$ ,  $\text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) and arsenazo III at  $25.0^\circ\text{C}$ , pH 4.00,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  in  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  acetate buffer aqueous solution.  $[\text{M}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

Pressure/bar	$k_2/\text{s}^{-1}$	$k_2'/\text{s}^{-1}$
$\text{M} = \text{Eu}^a$		
1	$30.1 \pm 1.5$	$2.00 \pm 0.07$
250	$33.8 \pm 0.7$	$1.83 \pm 0.04$
500	$37.3 \pm 1.3$	$1.70 \pm 0.06$
750	$40.6 \pm 0.6$	$1.56 \pm 0.03$
1000	$44.2 \pm 1.1$	$1.42 \pm 0.08$
$\text{M} = \text{Gd}^b$		
1	$30.3 \pm 1.8$	$4.89 \pm 0.22$
250	$33.2 \pm 2.5$	$4.25 \pm 0.17$
500	$38.5 \pm 2.0$	$3.87 \pm 0.15$
750	$41.2 \pm 4.0$	$3.34 \pm 0.11$
1000	$45.4 \pm 4.0$	$3.04 \pm 0.10$
$\text{M} = \text{Tb}^c$		
1	$35.9 \pm 0.7$	$6.21 \pm 0.09$
250	$41.2 \pm 1.8$	$5.85 \pm 0.14$
500	$48.5 \pm 1.0$	$5.58 \pm 0.19$
750	$56.0 \pm 1.1$	$5.20 \pm 0.12$
1000	$68.8 \pm 2.3$	$4.94 \pm 0.11$

<sup>a</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = -9.3 \pm 0.4$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +8.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = -10.0 \pm 0.6$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +11.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>c</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2) = -15.7 \pm 0.7$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_2') = +5.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

values for  $k_2'$  are significantly negative and may indicate an effective precursor alignment for this chelation step. The  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  value for the final  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$  steps are, within the experimental error limits, close to zero.

The observed rate constants as a function of pressure and the activation volumes for the second and third stages of the reaction of  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}$ ,  $\text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) and arsenazo III in acetate

**Table 6** Reaction rate as a function of temperature and the activation parameters for the third stage of the reaction of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and arsenazo III at pH 4.00,  $p = 1$  bar,  $[\text{Eu}^{3+}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

$T/\text{K}$	$10^2 k_3/\text{s}^{-1}$	$10^2 k_3'/\text{s}^{-1}$
(a) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3a}$		
286.1	$5.3 \pm 0.4$	$1.83 \pm 0.04$
291.1	$9.5 \pm 0.5$	$2.41 \pm 0.13$
298.1	$20 \pm 1.7$	$6.00 \pm 0.25$
307.0	$40 \pm 3.5$	$15.6 \pm 0.2$
313.0	$95 \pm 1.2$	$25.0 \pm 0.5$

$T/\text{K}$	$10^2 k_3/\text{s}^{-1}$	$10^2 k_3'/\text{s}^{-1}$
(b) $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ , $[\text{acetate}] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3b}$		
286.3	$7.35 \pm 0.25$	$0.53 \pm 0.05$
291.1	$9.00 \pm 0.50$	$0.95 \pm 0.04$
298.1	$12.4 \pm 0.7$	$1.79 \pm 0.06$
307.3	$53.5 \pm 5.0$	$4.50 \pm 0.12$
313.2	$71.0 \pm 1.2$	$7.50 \pm 0.12$

<sup>a</sup> For  $k_3$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 74 \pm 4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = +28 \pm 14 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; for  $k_3'$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 74 \pm 3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = +17 \pm 14 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup> For  $k_3$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 66 \pm 10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -1 \pm 33 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ; for  $k_3'$ ,  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 71 \pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta S^\ddagger = -3 \pm 4 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

**Table 7** Observed rate constants as a function of pressure and activation volumes for the third stage of the reaction of  $\text{M}^{3+}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}$  or  $\text{Tb}$ ) and arsenazo III at 25.0 °C, pH 4.00,  $[\text{NaClO}_4] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  and  $[\text{arsenazo III}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  in 0.1 mol  $\text{dm}^{-3}$  acetate buffer aqueous solution.  $[\text{M}] = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

Pressure/bar	$10^2 k_3/\text{s}^{-1}$	$10^2 k_3'/\text{s}^{-1}$
$\text{M} = \text{Eu}^a$		
1	$1.24 \pm 0.06$	$1.79 \pm 0.07$
250	$0.92 \pm 0.06$	$1.35 \pm 0.02$
500	$0.72 \pm 0.07$	$1.10 \pm 0.04$
750	$0.60 \pm 0.03$	$0.90 \pm 0.02$
1000	$0.50 \pm 0.04$	$0.72 \pm 0.02$
$\text{M} = \text{Gd}$		
1	$1.72 \pm 0.09$	$5.00 \pm 0.13$
$\text{M} = \text{Tb}^b$		
1	$2.07 \pm 0.13$	$3.90 \pm 0.15$
250	$1.68 \pm 0.16$	$2.94 \pm 0.08$
500	$1.44 \pm 0.06$	$2.11 \pm 0.13$
750	$1.31 \pm 0.08$	$1.69 \pm 0.20$
1000	$1.20 \pm 0.05$	$1.20 \pm 0.10$

<sup>a</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_3) = +22.0 \pm 1.4$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_3') = +21.8 \pm 0.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . <sup>b</sup>  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_3) = +15.1 \pm 1.0$ ,  $\Delta V^\ddagger(k_3') = +28.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

buffer are summarized in Tables 5 and 7, respectively. Rather similar results were observed for all three lanthanide(III) ions investigated, viz.  $k_2$  increases with increasing pressure in acetate-buffered systems, and  $k_2'$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$  decrease with increasing pressure. The difference in  $k_2'$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_3'$  among all three lanthanide(III) ions may be related to the size of the latter. The smaller the metal ion the larger is the congestion from the partially co-ordinated arsenazo III ligand and the easier the dissociation of the co-ordinated water molecules. Here steric congestion is the predominant factor, though ions of the same charge but decreasing radius undergo deaquation more slowly because of the greater density and consequent greater attraction for  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Thus, for example,  $k_2'$  changes in the order of  $\text{Eu}(2.00 \text{ s}^{-1}) < \text{Gd}(4.89 \text{ s}^{-1}) < \text{Tb}(6.21 \text{ s}^{-1})$ .

We conclude that the observed kinetic trends and reported activation parameters can be accounted for in terms of the stepwise chelation mechanism outlined in Scheme 3. The five subsequent reaction steps can be accounted for in terms of binding of the arsenazo III ligand followed by stepwise chelation and deaquation of the metal center. The intimate nature of the mechanism does depend on the metal center, and

the observed trends are in good agreement with related findings reported in the literature.<sup>1-18,56-60</sup>

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (E. M. E.) and by the Volkswagen Foundation (R. v. E.). The authors are indebted to Gabor Konya for help with several computer programs.

## References

- G. K. Pagenkopf, *ACS Monogr.*, 174, 1978, **2**, 1.
- G. R. Choppin, *J. Less-Common Met.*, 1985, **112**, 198.
- H. B. Silber, *J. Alloys Compds.*, 1994, **207**, R11.
- H. B. Silber, T. Parker and N. Naugen, *J. Alloys Compds.*, 1992, **180**, 369.
- H. B. Silber, R. Bakhshandehfar, L. A. Contreras, F. Gaizer, M. Gonsalves and S. Ismail, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4473.
- H. B. Silber and R. L. Campbell, *J. Less-Common Met.*, 1989, **149**, 265.
- H. B. Silber, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **139**, 33.
- R. B. Lauffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, **80**, 901.
- S. Jurisson, D. Berning, W. Jia and D. Ma, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 1137.
- M. F. Tweedle, in *Lanthanide Probes in Life*, eds. J.-C. Bunzli and G. R. Choppin, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.
- J. L. Sessler, T. D. Moody, G. W. Hemmi, V. Lynch, S. W. Young and R. A. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 103 68.
- K. Micskei, L. Helm, E. Brühver and A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 3844.
- K. Kumar and M. F. Tweedle, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1993, **65**, 515.
- K. Kumar and M. F. Tweedle, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 4193.
- K.-Y. Choi, K. S. Kim and J. C. Kim, *Polyhedron*, 1994, **13**, 567.
- W. D. Kim, G. E. Kiefer, J. Huskens and A. D. Sherry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 4128.
- J. Huskens, D. A. Torres, Z. Kovacs, J. P. Andre, C. F. Geraldes and A. D. Sherry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 1495.
- C. Cossy, L. Helm and A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 1973.
- H. Rohwer, N. Collier and E. Hosten, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1995, **314**, 219.
- B. A. Collburn, M. J. Sepaniak and E. R. Hinton, *J. Liq. Chromatogr.*, 1995, **18**, 3699.
- E. Hvattum, P. T. Normann, G. C. Jamieson, J. J. Lai and T. Skotland, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 1995, **13**, 927.
- L. C. Baylor and B. R. Buchanan, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 1995, **49**, 679.
- T. Yokoyama, K. Kohara, H. Akashi and M. Zenki, *Anal. Sci.*, 1997, **13**, 165.
- S. B. Savvin, *Arsenazo III*, Atomizdat, Moscow, 1966.
- B. Buděšínský, *Talanta*, 1969, **16**, 1288.
- B. Buděšínský, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 1963, **28**, 2902.
- P. K. Spitsyn, V. S. Shvarev and T. P. Poppyanova, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **17**, 502.
- N. A. Nepomnyashchaya, A. A. Men'kov and A. S. Lenskii, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1975, **20**, 1010.
- K. Ogan and E. R. Simons, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1979, **96**, 70.
- P. Palade and J. Vegara, *Biophys. J.*, 1983, **43**, 355.
- P. L. Dorogi, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1984, **799**, 9.
- H. Rohwer and E. Hosten, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1997, **339**, 271.
- H. Rohwer, N. Rheeder and E. Hosten, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1997, **341**, 263.
- K. Inaba, S. Muralidharan and H. Freiser, *Anal. Chem.*, 1993, **65**, 1510.
- R. Kuroda, M. Kursaki, Y. Hayashibe and S. Ishimaru, *Talanta*, 1990, **37**, 619.
- D. B. Gladilovich, L. Sommer and V. Kuban, *Talanta*, 1988, **35**, 259.
- S. Kus, N. Obarski and Z. Marzenko, *Anal. Sci.*, 1992, **8**, 213.
- V. F. Lukyanov, E. P. Duderova, T. E. Barabanova and E. F. Novak, *J. Anal. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.)*, 1989, **44**, 1793.
- C. G. Pippin, J. C. Sullivan and D. W. Wester, *Radiochim. Acta*, 1984, **37**, 99.
- V. I. Pogonio, P. N. Astafév, G. I. Romanovskaya, E. A. Likhonina, S. B. Savvin and A. Chibisov, *Zh. Anal. Khim.*, 1982, **37**, 2010.
- S. A. Nikitina, T. A. Demyanova, A. V. Stepanov, A. A. Lipovskii and M. A. Nemtsova, *J. Radioanal. Chem.*, 1979, **51**, 393.
- H. Mogi, T. Odashima and H. Ishii, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi*, 1983, 1437.
- H. Ishii, T. Odashima and H. Mogi, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi*, 1984, 516.
- C. G. Pippin and J. C. Sullivan, *Radiochim. Acta*, 1989, **48**, 37.

- 45 R. van Eldik, T. Asano and W. J. LeNoble, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **89**, 549.
- 46 R. van Eldik, W. Gaede, S. Wieland, J. Kraft, M. Spitzer and D. A. Palmer, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 1993, **64**, 1355.
- 47 I. Němcová, B. Metal and J. Podlaha, *Talanta*, 1986, **33**, 841.
- 48 W. P. Cacheris, S. K. Nickle and A. D. Sherry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 959.
- 49 M. Kodama, T. Koike, A. B. Mahatma and E. Kimura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 1270.
- 50 B. Buděšínský, in *Chelates in Analytical Chemistry*, eds. H. A. Flashka and A. J. Barnard, jun., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969, p. 84.
- 51 P. K. Spitsyn and V. S. Shvarev, *Zh. Anal. Khim.*, 1971, **26**, 1313.
- 52 D. B. Gladilovich, V. Kubáň and L. Sommer, *Talanta*, 1988, **35**, 259.
- 53 R. H. Abu-Eittah and L. T. Razik, *Polyhedron*, 1993, **12**, 2027.
- 54 G. A. Meyers, F. M. Michaels, R. L. Reeves and P. J. Trotter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 731.
- 55 J. Xu and R. B. Jordan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4180.
- 56 C. Cossy, L. Helm and A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 2699.
- 57 Th. Kowall, F. Foglia, L. Helm and A. E. Merbach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 3790.
- 58 N. Graeppi, D. H. Powell, G. Laurency, L. Zékány and A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1995, **235**, 311.
- 59 D. H. González, V. Powell, V. Tissières and A. E. Merbach, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1994, **98**, 53.
- 60 Y. Ducommun, K. E. Newman and A. E. Merbach, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 3696.
- 61 G. Ber. Geier, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, 1965, **69**, 617.
- 62 M. M. Farrow, N. Purdie and E. M. Eyring, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1974, **13**, 2024.
- 63 T. E. Eriksen, I. Grenthe and I. Puigdomènech, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1986, **121**, 63.
- 64 T. E. Eriksen, I. Grenthe and I. Puigdomènech, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **126**, 131.
- 65 H. B. Silber, R. D. Farina and J. H. Swinehart, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1969, **18**, 819.

Received 24th November 1997; Paper 7/08480C